

Case-based discussion assessment form

Candidate and assessor information

AMC candidate name	Dr Wajahat	AMC candidate number	12345
Assessor name	Dr Parab	Assessor position	VMO

This Case-based discussion assesses the following domains (multiple options can be selected):

History	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Physical Examination	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Management/Counselling	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Clinical Judgement	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Communication Skills	<input type="checkbox"/>	Working in a team	<input type="checkbox"/>	Professionalism
Cultural Competence			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Patient Safety and Quality of Care		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Patient information

Age	34 yrs	Gender	female	Setting(E.g. ED/GP/Ward)	emergency department	
Real Patient	Direct observation of an encounter with a real patient is mandatory.					
Candidate involved in patient's care	Y <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/>					
Problem(s)	Right iliac fossa pain					
Please circle Clinical area	Adult medicine	Adult surgery	Women's health	Child health	Mental health	Emergency medicine

Please record a rating for each criterion on the scale 1 (extremely poor) to 5 (extremely good). A score of 1-2 is considered below expected level, 3 at expected level and 4-5 above expected level, at the standard of an Australian graduate **at the end of PGY1**. The criteria where there are no **N/O** (not observable in this encounter) boxes are mandatory and must be rated for each assessment. Assessors should note that over all the encounters observed it is expected that all attributes are observed and scored at least once. Support all ratings with an explanation / example in the comments box.

Candidate assessment criteria	Below expected level		At expected level	Above expected level		
1. Clinical record keeping	<input type="text" value="1"/>	<input type="text" value="2"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text" value="4"/>	<input type="text" value="5"/>	
2. Differential diagnosis and summary list	<input type="text" value="1"/>	<input type="text" value="2"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text" value="4"/>	<input type="text" value="5"/>	<input type="text" value="N/O"/>
3. Management plan – Investigations, treatment and follow-up	<input type="text" value="1"/>	<input type="text" value="2"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text" value="4"/>	<input type="text" value="5"/>	<input type="text" value="N/O"/>
4. Clinical judgement / clinical reasoning	<input type="text" value="1"/>	<input type="text" value="2"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text" value="4"/>	<input type="text" value="5"/>	

Global rating

An overall rating of this doctor's performance and professionalism in all areas. The global rating is not an algorithmic calculation of the candidate assessment criteria ratings but a judgement about the overall performance of the candidate.

Not competent

☐

Competent

☒

Assessors comments (compulsory) Please describe what was effective, what could be improved and your overall impression. If required, please specify suggested actions for improvement and a timeline.

Rina has a good idea about what was going well. She overlooked a few differential diagnoses and was very narrow in her approach. We discussed about improving documentation by documenting a timeline and also the early sign of sepsis in young patients and need for prompt recognition to avoid delay in treatment. Overall, She meets the PGY1 standard and is a safe doctor.

Signature of assessor:		Signature of candidate:	
	Date: <input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="text"/> <input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="text"/> / <input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="text"/> <input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="text"/> / <input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="text"/> <input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>		Date: <input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="text"/> <input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="text"/> / <input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="text"/> <input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="text"/> / <input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="text"/> <input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>
Observation time:		Feedback time:	

## Case-Based Discussion (CBD)

Case-based discussion is an assessment focused on discussion of a case record of a patient for whom the candidate has been involved in their care. Usually, the candidate selects the medical records of two or three patients they have helped manage. An assessor selects one of the records and discusses patient care with the candidate and provides feedback at the completion of the discussion. The goal of the discussion is to assess the candidate's clinical reasoning in relation to the decisions made in the patient assessment, investigation, referral, treatment and follow-up. The technique can also allow assessment of the candidate's professionalism and record keeping.

### Descriptors of criteria assessed during the CBD

#### Clinical record keeping

- Demonstrates clarity in structure and content of the record in the patient's notes:  
History, physical examination, summary and problem list, management plan, procedures and operations, progress notes and treatment chart
- Creates notes that are satisfactory for use by other health professionals caring for that patient and for the doctor's own use in following up the patient

#### Differential diagnosis, summary and problem list

- Provides appropriate summary/diagnostic formulation and problem list
- Relates the patient's symptoms to the examination findings to form a diagnosis
- Communicates the clinical assessment in an appropriate manner to the patient

#### Management plan – Investigations, treatment and follow-up

- Demonstrates critical selection of investigations that will most efficiently assist with the diagnostic formulation and problem management
- Chooses treatment that is evidence-based and effective for the patient in his/her context
- Chooses medications and other treatments in keeping with the requirements of the health service
- Documents clearly the treatments ordered on the treatment chart
- Informs the patients and, where appropriate, obtains formal consent
- Includes follow-up as part of the discharge process from a hospital or clinic setting
- Includes investigations, treatment, prevention and patient education in the management plan
- Follow-up is made at a time appropriate for the clinical problem

#### Clinical judgement /clinical reasoning

- Demonstrates a successful problem solving process, including collection of data, evaluation of information and formation of decisions about diagnosis, prognosis, treatment and prevention

#### Global rating

- An overall judgement of performance at the expected level of an Australian graduate at the end of PGY1.