Entrustable Professional Activity (EPA)
Assessment form



EPA 1: Clinical Assessment

Note: This form has been altered to better support paper-based trialling of EPA assessments in 2023 and 2024. This form will be translated into an online version prior to implementation of an e-portfolio. Most of the details in the form will be prepopulated in the e-portfolio or entered by the prevocational doctor.

The purpose of this form is to provide feedback to the prevocational doctor on their performance of an EPA to support their learning and to support assessment review panel decisions about satisfactory completion of PGY1 (the point of general registration) or PGY2. EPAs assessed throughout PGY1 and PGY2 should represent a breadth of experience and a range of task complexities. There is an expectation that there is an increase in the level of complexity of cases across the year and two-year program.

Prevocational doctor name						
Term name						
Term start date	•			Term end date		
PGY		Term	of	Week of term		
Date of assess	ment					
	_					
Supervisor nar	me					
Assessor name	e					
Assessor		☐ Specialist or	equivalent (term	supervisor)	□ Nurse/ nurs	se practitioner
		☐ Specialist or equivalent (other)			□ Pharmacist	:
		□ Registrar			☐ Other	
Consultation with/		☐ Specialist or equivalent (term supervisor)			☐ Pharmacist	
input from		□ Specialist or	equivalent (other	·)	□ Patient	
		□ Registrar			☐ PGY1/2 pee	∍ r
		☐ Nurse/ nurse	practitioner		□ Other	
		☐ Allied health				

Title

Conduct a clinical assessment of a patient incorporating history, examination, and formulation of a differential diagnosis and a management plan including appropriate investigations.

Focus and context

This EPA applies in admission, reviewing a patient on request in response to a particular concern, ward call tasks, ward rounds, lower acuity emergency department presentations, general practice consultations or outpatient clinical attendances.

Perform this activity in multiple settings, including inpatient and ambulatory (including community) care settings or in emergency departments and in the care of different populations for example children, adults and the elderly.

Description

This activity requires the ability to,	where appropriate or possi	ble complete some or al	Il of the following list.	The
prevocational doctor is to tick the	ask descriptions that are re	levant to this assessmen	nt:	

	1.	if clinical assessment has been requested by a team member, clarify the concern(s) with them
	2.	identify pertinent information in the patient record
	3.	obtain consent from the patient
	4.	obtain a history
	5.	examine the patient
	6.	consider and integrate information from the patient record, clinical assessments, and relevant ward protocols/ guidelines/ literature
	7.	develop provisional and differential diagnoses and/or problem lists
	8.	produce a management plan, confirm with senior colleague as appropriate, and communicate with relevant team members and the patient
	9.	implement management plan, initiate or perform appropriate investigations and procedures, and document assessment and next steps, including indications for follow up
		on 2B of <i>Training and assessment – Training and assessment requirements for prevocational (PGY1 and nining programs</i> for descriptions of behaviours that demonstrate entrustability to the supervisor.
Case	e det	ails
Self -	-asse	essment ection on performance of the task: you feel you went?, what went well and why?, what could you have done better and how?]
Ва	sed o	on this case, what will you do to develop your learning further?

Assessor to co	omplete this se	ction	
Case details	Patient type: ☐ Child ☐ Adult	Brief description: [e.g., age, gender, diagnosis etc.]	
Assessor's dec	laration		
☐ The patien member th		nd I have directly observed some part of the clinical interaction	າ or have spoken to a team
Complexity of the case(s)	☐ Low☐ Medium☐ High		
Note: Case complexity PGY1 and PGY2 should be a should	y is a combination of the lld represent a breadth o	complexity of the medical presentation and relevant social factors. E of experience and a range of task complexities.	PAs assessed throughout
Entrustability s	cale		
Supervisors are ask	ed to make a judgem	ent on the degree of entrustment for this task; the level of sup	ervision required.
□ Requires d work)	irect supervision (I or	the (day to day) supervisor need to be there to observe the in	iteractions and review the
	roximal supervision (I or detailed review of v	or the (day to day) supervisor need to be easily contacted, awork)	nd able to provide
		trust the prevocational doctor to complete the task/ I or the (dailding and able to provide general overview of work)	ay to day) supervisor
Was the entrustab	ility rating appropria	ate for the level of training?	☐ Yes ☐ No
Assessor's Fee	dback		
What went well?			
What could be done	to improve?		
	·		
Agreed learning goa	als arising from the ex	perience	
Assessor sign off:			

Entrustable Professional Activity (EPA)
Assessment form



EPA 2: Recognition and care of the acutely unwell patient

Note: This form has been altered to better support paper-based trialling of EPA assessments in 2023 and 2024. This form will be translated into an online version prior to implementation of an e-portfolio. Most of the details in the form will be prepopulated in the e-portfolio or entered by the prevocational doctor.

The purpose of this form is to provide feedback to the prevocational doctor on their performance of an EPA to support their learning and to support assessment review panel decisions about satisfactory completion of PGY1 (the point of general registration) or PGY2. EPAs assessed throughout PGY1 and PGY2 should represent a breadth of experience and a range of task complexities.

Prevocational d	loctor name						
Term name							
Term start date				Term end date			
PGY		Term	of	Week of term			
Date of assessr	ment						
Supervisor nam	пе						
Assessor name	•						
Assessor		☐ Specialist o	or equivalent (terr	m supervisor)	□ Nurse/ n	urse practitioner	
		☐ Specialist o	or equivalent (oth	er)	☐ Pharma	cist	
		□ Registrar			□ Other		
Consultation w	ith/	☐ Specialist o	or equivalent (terr	m supervisor)	□ Pharmac	ist	
input from		☐ Specialist o	or equivalent (oth	er)	□ Patient		
		□ Registrar			☐ PGY1/2 _I	peer	
		☐ Nurse/ nurs	se practitioner		□ Other		
		☐ Allied healt	h				

Title

Recognise, assess, escalate appropriately, and provide immediate management to deteriorating and acutely unwell patients. (This EPA recognises that PGY1/2 doctors often called after hours to assess patients whose situation has acutely changed)

Focus and context

This EPA applies in any clinical context but the critical aspects that differentiate it from EPA 1 are for the PGY1/PGY2 doctor to:

- 1. Recognise the acutely unwell and or deteriorating patient (including acute deterioration in mental health).
- 2. Act immediately, demonstrating a timely approach to management
- 3. Escalate appropriately

Perform this activity in multiple settings, including inpatient and ambulatory (or community) care settings or in emergency departments, in and after hours, and in the care of different populations for example children, adults and elderly.

Description

This activity requires the ability to, where appropriate or possible complete some or all of the following list. The prevocational doctor is to tick the task descriptions that are relevant to this assessment:

	1.	recognise clinical deterioration or acutely unwell patients
	2.	respond by initiating immediate management, including basic life support if required
	3.	seek appropriate assistance, including following the local process for escalation of care
	4.	communicate critical information in a concise, accurate and timely manner to facilitate decision making
	5.	lead the resuscitation initially, and involve other necessary services, such as intensive care or retrieval services
See	Secti	on 2B for descriptions of behaviours that demonstrate entrustability to the supervisor.
Br [e.	.g. ag	scription of issues of case: e, gender, diagnosis etc.]
		essment
		ection on performance of the task: by you feel you went?, what went well and why?, what could you have done better and how?]
Bá	ased (on this case, what will you do to develop your learning further?
Out	come	e statements (this section of the form will be functional at implementation of an e-portfolio)
		epopulate what outcome statements this assessment will map to, on what aspects of the task description have been ticked in the above section]

Assessor to co	mplete this se	ection	
Case details	Patient type: ☐ Child ☐ Adult	Brief description: [e.g., age, gender, diagnosis etc.]	
Assessor's dec	laration		
☐ The patient member that		nd I have directly observed some part of the clinical interaction	n or have spoken to a team
Complexity of the case(s) Note: Case complexity	☐ Low ☐ Medium ☐ High	e complexity of the medical presentation and relevant social factors.	EPAs assessed throughout
		of experience and a range of task complexities.	
Entrustability so	cale		
		nent on the degree of entrustment for this task; the level of su	pervision required.
□ Requires di work)	rect supervision (I or	the (day to day) supervisor need to be there to observe the i	nteractions and review the
	oximal supervision (lor detailed review of	l or the (day to day) supervisor need to be easily contacted, a work)	nd able to provide
		trust the prevocational doctor to complete the task/ I or the (cuilding and able to provide general overview of work)	lay to day) supervisor
Was the entrustabi	lity rating appropria	ate for the level of training?	□ Yes □ No
Assessor's Feed	lback		
What went well?			
What could be done	to improve?		
A	la autatia (f. 19		
Agreed learning goa	Is arising from the ex	xperience	

Assessor sign off:

Entrustable Professional Activity (EPA)
Assessment form



EPA 3: Prescribing

Note: This form has been altered to better support paper-based trialling of EPA assessments in 2023 and 2024. This form will be translated into an online version prior to implementation of an e-portfolio. Most of the details in the form will be prepopulated in the e-portfolio or entered by the prevocational doctor.

The purpose of this form is to provide feedback to the prevocational doctor on their performance of an EPA to support their learning and to support assessment review panel decisions about satisfactory completion of PGY1 (the point of general registration) or PGY2. EPAs assessed throughout PGY1 and PGY2 should represent a breadth of experience and a range of task complexities.

Prevocational	doctor name					
Term name						
Term start date	е			Term end date		
PGY		Term	of	Week of term		
Date of assess	sment					
Supervisor na	me					
Assessor nam	е					
Assessor		☐ Specialist o	or equivalent (terr	m supervisor)	□ Nurse/ n	urse practitioner
		☐ Specialist of	or equivalent (oth	er)	☐ Pharmad	cist
		□ Registrar			□ Other	
Consultation v	vith/	☐ Specialist o	or equivalent (terr	m supervisor)	□ Pharmaci	ist
input from		☐ Specialist of	or equivalent (oth	er)	□ Patient	
		□ Registrar			□ PGY1/2 p	peer
		☐ Nurse/ nur	se practitioner		□ Other	
		☐ Allied healt	th			

Title

Appropriately prescribe therapies (drugs, fluids, blood products oxygen) tailored to patients' needs and conditions

Focus and context

This EPA applies in any clinical context but the critical aspects are to:

- 1. Prescribe autonomously when appropriate, taking account of registration, health service policies, and individual confidence and experience with that drug or product
- 2. Prescribe as directed by a senior team member, taking responsibility for completion of the order to ensure it is both accurate and appropriate in the context of the patient

Perform this activity in multiple settings, including inpatient and ambulatory (or community) care settings or in emergency departments and in the care of different populations for example children, adults and elderly.

Description

This activity requires the ability to, where appropriate or possible complete some or all of the following list. T	he
prevocational doctor is to tick the task descriptions that are relevant to this assessment:	

	1.	obtain and interpret medication histories					
	2.	respond to requests from team members to prescribe medications					
	3.	consider whether a prescription is appropriate					
	4.	choose appropriate medications					
	5.	where appropriate, clarify with the senior medical officers, pharmacists, nursing staff, family members or clinical resources the drug, including name, dose, frequency and duration					
	6.	actively consider drug/ drug interactions and/or allergies and if identified check whether to proceed					
	7.	provide instruction on medication administration effects and adverse effects, using appropriate resources					
	8.	elicit any patient concerns about the benefits and risks, as appropriate seek advice and support to address those concerns					
	9.	write or enter accurate and clear prescriptions or medication charts					
	10.	monitor medications for adverse reactions, efficacy, safety, and concordance					
	11.	review medications and interactions, and cease medications where indicated, in consultation with senior team members, including a pharmacist					
See S	ectic	on 2B for descriptions of behaviours that demonstrate entrustability to the supervisor.					
[e.g	ı. age	cription of issues of case: , gender, diagnosis etc.]					
		ssment					
	Self-reflection on performance of the task: [how do you feel you went?, what went well and why?, what could you have done better and how?]						
Bas	Based on this case, what will you do to develop your learning further?						
[Wi	II prej	statements (this section of the form will be functional at implementation of an e-portfolio) copulate what outcome statements this assessment will map to, in what aspects of the task description have been ticked in the above section]					

Assessor to co	emplete this se	ection	
Case details	Patient type: ☐ Child ☐ Adult	Brief description: [e.g., age, gender, diagnosis etc.]	
Assessor's dec	laration		
☐ The patient member that		nd I have directly observed some part of the clinical intera	ction or have spoken to a team
Complexity of the case(s)	☐ Low ☐ Medium ☐ High	e complexity of the medical presentation and relevant social facto	ors FPAs assessed throughout
		of experience and a range of task complexities.	
Entrustability s	cale		
		nent on the degree of entrustment for this task; the level of	f supervision required.
□ Requires di work)	irect supervision (I or	r the (day to day) supervisor need to be there to observe the	he interactions and review the
	roximal supervision (or detailed review of	I or the (day to day) supervisor need to be easily contacte work)	d, and able to provide
		trust the prevocational doctor to complete the task/ I or thuilding and able to provide general overview of work)	e (day to day) supervisor
Was the entrustabi	lity rating appropri	ate for the level of training?	□ Yes □ No
Was the entrustable Assessor's Feed		ate for the level of training?	
		ate for the level of training?	
Assessor's Fee	dback	ate for the level of training?	
Assessor's Feed What went well?	dback	ate for the level of training?	
Assessor's Feed What went well?	dback	ate for the level of training?	
Assessor's Feed What went well? What could be done	dback to improve?		
Assessor's Feed What went well? What could be done	dback		
Assessor's Feed What went well? What could be done	dback to improve?		
Assessor's Feed What went well? What could be done	dback to improve?		

Assessor sign off:

Entrustable Professional Activity (EPA)
Assessment form



EPA 4: Team Communication – documentation, handover and referrals

Note: This form has been altered to better support paper-based trialling of EPA assessments in 2023 and 2024. This form will be translated into an online version prior to implementation of an e-portfolio. Most of the details in the form will be prepopulated in the e-portfolio or entered by the prevocational doctor.

The purpose of this form is to provide feedback to the prevocational doctor on their performance of an EPA to support their learning and to support assessment review panel decisions about satisfactory completion of PGY1 (the point of general registration) or PGY2. EPAs assessed throughout PGY1 and PGY2 should represent a breadth of experience and a range of task complexities.

Prevocational doctor name						
Term name						
Term start date	•			Term end date		
PGY		Term	of	Week of term		
Date of assess	ment					
Supervisor nan	ne					
Assessor name	•					
Assessor		•	or equivalent (tern or equivalent (othe		□ Nurse/r □ Pharma	nurse practitioner acist
Consultation with/ input from		 □ Specialist or equivalent (term supervisor) □ Specialist or equivalent (other) □ Registrar □ Nurse/ nurse practitioner □ Allied health 		☐ Pharmad ☐ Patient ☐ PGY1/2 ☐ Other		

Title

Communicate about patient care, including accurate documentation and written and verbal information to facilitate high quality care at transition points and referral.

Focus and context

This EPA applies to any clinical context but the critical aspects are to:

- 1. Communicate timely, accurate and concise information to facilitate transfer of care across various health sector boundaries including:
 - · at referral from ambulatory and community care
 - at admission
 - between clinical services and multidisciplinary teams
 - · at changes of shift
 - at discharge to ambulatory and community care
- 2. Produce timely, accurate and concise documentation of episodes of clinical care

Perform this activity in multiple settings, including inpatient and ambulatory (or community) care settings or in emergency departments and in the care of different populations for example children, adults and elderly.

Description

This activity requires the ability to, where appropriate or possible complete some or all of the following list. The prevocational doctor is to tick the task descriptions that are relevant to this assessment:

- Communicate:
 - facilitate high quality care at any transition point
 - ensure continuity of care
 - share patient information with other health care providers and multidisciplinary teams in conjunction with referral or the transfer of responsibility for patient care
 - use local agreed modes of information transfer, including oral, electronic and written format to communicate (at least):
 - patient demographics
 - concise medical history and relevant physical examination findings
 - current problems and issues
 - details of pertinent and pending investigation results
 - medical and multidisciplinary care plans
 - planned outcomes and indications for follow up
- 2. Document:
 - enable other health professionals to understand the issues and continue care
 - produce written summaries of care, including admission and progress notes, team referrals, discharge summaries, and transfer documentation
 - produce accurate records appropriate for secondary purposes
 - complete accurate medical certificates, death certificates and cremation certificates
 - enable the appropriate use of clinical handover tools

See Section 2B for descriptions of behaviours that demonstrate entrustability to the supervisor.				
Case details				
Brief description of issues of case: [e.g., age, gender, diagnosis etc.]				
Self-assessment				
Self-reflection on performance of the task: [how do you feel you went?, what went well and why?, what could you have done better and how?]				
Based on this case, what will you do to develop your learning further?				
Outcome statements (this section of the form will be functional at implementation of an e-portfolio)				
[Will prepopulate what outcome statements this assessment will map to, based on what aspects of the task description have been ticked in the above section]				

Assessor to complete this section					
Case	details	Patient type: ☐ Child ☐ Adult	Brief description: [e.g., age, gender, diagnosis etc.]		
Assessor's declaration					
	The patient(s) is known to me and I have directly observed some part of the clinical interaction or have spoken to a team member that has				
Complexity of the case(s)					
Note: Case complexity is a combination of the complexity of the medical presentation and relevant social factors. EPAs assessed throughout PGY1 and PGY2 should represent a breadth of experience and a range of task complexities.					
Entru	ustability so	cale			
Super	visors are ask	ed to make a judgem	nent on the degree of entrustment for this task; the level	of supervision required.	
	Requires direct supervision (I or the (day to day) supervisor need to be there to observe the interactions and review the work)				
	Requires proximal supervision (I or the (day to day) supervisor need to be easily contacted, and able to provide immediate or detailed review of work)				
			trust the prevocational doctor to complete the task/ I or uilding and able to provide general overview of work)	the (day to day) supervisor	
	'				
Was the entrustability rating approp					
Was tl	he entrustabi	lity rating appropria	ate for the level of training?	□ Yes □ No	
	he entrustabi ssor's Feed		ate for the level of training?		
Asses			ate for the level of training?		
Asses	ssor's Feed		ate for the level of training?		
Asses	ssor's Feed		ate for the level of training?		
Asses	ssor's Feed		ate for the level of training?		
Asses	ssor's Feed		ate for the level of training?		
Asses	ssor's Feed		ate for the level of training?		
Asses What v	ssor's Feed	dback	ate for the level of training?		
Asses What v	ssor's Feed went well?	dback	ate for the level of training?		
Asses What v	ssor's Feed went well?	dback	ate for the level of training?		
Asses What v	ssor's Feed went well?	dback	ate for the level of training?		
Asses What v	ssor's Feed went well?	dback	ate for the level of training?		
Asses What w	ssor's Feed went well?	to improve?			
Asses What w	ssor's Feed went well?	dback			
Asses What w	ssor's Feed went well?	to improve?			
Asses What w	ssor's Feed went well?	to improve?			
Asses What w	ssor's Feed went well?	to improve?			
Asses What w	ssor's Feed went well?	to improve?			

Assessor sign off: