Case-based discussion assessment form



Logo placement area

AMC candidate name			AMC can	didate number				
Assessor name				sessor position				
'				-				
This Case-based discussion assesses the following domains (multiple options can be selected):								
History	Physical Exa	mination	Manag	gement/Counselling	g 🔲			
Clinical Judgement	Communica	ation Skills	Workir	ng in a team	Profess	ionalism		
Cultural Competence			Patien	t Safety and Qualit	y of Care			
Patient information								
Age	Gende	er	Setting (E.g. ED/	GP/Ward)				
Real Patient	Direct observation o	f an encount	er with a real pat	ient is mandatory.				
Candidate involved in	volved in Y N							
patient's care								
Problem(s)								
Please circle Clinical	Adult medicine A	dult surgery	Women's he	alth Child hea	alth Mental healt	:h Emerge	ency medicine	
area							•	
		Dolovicov	nostad laval	At avecated lavel	A baya ayar	atad laval		
Candidate assessment			pected level	At expected level	Above expe			
Clinical record ke		Below ex	pected level	At expected level	Above expe	5		
1. Clinical record ke		1					N/O	
1. Clinical record ke	eping osis and summary lis	1 t 1	2	3	4	5	N/O	
Clinical record kee Differential diagno	eping osis and summary lis n – Investigations,	1	2	3	4	5		
 Clinical record kee Differential diagno Management plan 	eping osis and summary lis n – Investigations, low-up	1 1 1 1 1	2 2	3 3	4	5 5		
 Clinical record kee Differential diagno Management plan treatment and fol 	eping osis and summary lise n – Investigations, low-up	1 t 1	2	3	4	5		
1. Clinical record kee 2. Differential diagno 3. Management plar treatment and fol 4. Clinical judgement clinical reasoning Global rating Ar	eping osis and summary lise n – Investigations, low-up nt / n overall rating of this	1 1 1 1 s doctor's per	2 2 2 2 formance and pr	3 3 3 rofessionalism in a	4 4 4 Il areas. The glob	5 5 5 and rating is	N/O	
 Clinical record kee Differential diagno Management plar treatment and fol Clinical judgement clinical reasoning 	eping osis and summary lise n – Investigations, low-up nt / n overall rating of this he candidate assess	t 1 1 1 s doctor's per ment criteria	2 2 2 2 formance and pr	3 3 3 rofessionalism in a gement about the	4 4 4 Il areas. The glob	5 5 5 and rating is	N/O	
1. Clinical record kee 2. Differential diagno 3. Management plar treatment and fol 4. Clinical judgement clinical reasoning Global rating Ar	eping osis and summary lise n – Investigations, low-up nt / n overall rating of this	t 1 1 1 s doctor's per ment criteria	2 2 2 2 formance and pr	3 3 3 rofessionalism in a	4 4 4 Il areas. The glob	5 5 5 and rating is	N/O	
1. Clinical record keep 2. Differential diagnorm 3. Management plant treatment and folt 4. Clinical judgement clinical reasoning Global rating Aralgorithmic calculation of the content of the conte	eping osis and summary list n – Investigations, low-up nt / n overall rating of this he candidate assess Not competent	1 1 1 s doctor's per ment criteria	2 2 2 formance and pratings but a jud	3 3 3 rofessionalism in a gement about the Competent	4 4 4 Il areas. The glot overall performan	5 5 5 ce of the ca	N/O not an ndidate.	
1. Clinical record kee 2. Differential diagno 3. Management plar treatment and fol 4. Clinical judgement clinical reasoning Global rating Ar	eping osis and summary list n – Investigations, low-up nt / n overall rating of this he candidate assess Not competent (compulsory) Ple	1 1 1 s doctor's perment criteria	2 2 2 formance and pratings but a jud	3 3 3 rofessionalism in a gement about the Competent	4 4 4 Il areas. The glot overall performan	5 5 5 ce of the ca	N/O not an ndidate.	
1. Clinical record kee 2. Differential diagnorm 3. Management plant treatment and folt 4. Clinical judgement clinical reasoning Global rating Analgorithmic calculation of the company of the compan	eping osis and summary list n – Investigations, low-up nt / n overall rating of this he candidate assess Not competent (compulsory) Ple	1 1 1 s doctor's perment criteria	2 2 2 formance and pratings but a jud	3 3 3 rofessionalism in a gement about the Competent	4 4 4 Il areas. The glot overall performan	5 5 5 ce of the ca	N/O not an ndidate.	
1. Clinical record kee 2. Differential diagnorm 3. Management plant treatment and folt 4. Clinical judgement clinical reasoning Global rating Analgorithmic calculation of the company of the compan	eping osis and summary list n – Investigations, low-up nt / n overall rating of this he candidate assess Not competent (compulsory) Ple	1 1 1 s doctor's perment criteria	2 2 2 formance and pratings but a jud	3 3 3 rofessionalism in a gement about the Competent	4 4 4 Il areas. The glot overall performan	5 5 5 ce of the ca	N/O not an ndidate.	
1. Clinical record kee 2. Differential diagnorm 3. Management plant treatment and folt 4. Clinical judgement clinical reasoning Global rating Analgorithmic calculation of the company of the compan	eping osis and summary list n – Investigations, low-up nt / n overall rating of this he candidate assess Not competent (compulsory) Ple	1 1 1 s doctor's perment criteria	2 2 2 formance and pratings but a jud	3 3 3 rofessionalism in a gement about the Competent	4 4 4 Il areas. The glot overall performan	5 5 5 ce of the ca	N/O not an ndidate.	

Signature of assessor:	Signature of candidate:	
Date:	Date:	
Observation time:	Feedback time:	

Case-Based Discussion (CBD)

Case-based discussion is an assessment focused on discussion of a case record of a patient for whom the candidate has been involved in their care. Usually, the candidate selects the medical records of two or three patients they have helped manage. An assessor selects one of the records and discusses patient care with the candidate and provides feedback at the completion of the discussion. The goal of the discussion is to assess the candidate's clinical reasoning in relation to the decisions made in the patient assessment, investigation, referral, treatment and follow-up. The technique can also allow assessment of the candidate's professionalism and record keeping.

Descriptors of criteria assessed during the CBD

Clinical record keeping

- Demonstrates clarity in structure and content of the record in the patient's notes:
 History, physical examination, summary and problem list, management plan, procedures and operations, progress notes and treatment chart
- Creates notes that are satisfactory for use by other health professionals caring for that patient and for the doctor's own use in following up the patient

Differential diagnosis, summary and problem list

- Provides appropriate summary/diagnostic formulation and problem list
- Relates the patient's symptoms to the examination findings to form a diagnosis
- Communicates the clinical assessment in an appropriate manner to the patient

Management plan - Investigations, treatment and follow-up

- Demonstrates critical selection of investigations that will most efficiently assist with the diagnostic formulation and problem management
- Chooses treatment that is evidence-based and effective for the patient in his/her context
- · Chooses medications and other treatments in keeping with the requirements of the health service
- Documents clearly the treatments ordered on the treatment chart
- Informs the patients and, where appropriate, obtains formal consent
- Includes follow-up as part of the discharge process from a hospital or clinic setting
- Includes investigations, treatment, prevention and patient education in the management plan
- Follow-up is made at a time appropriate for the clinical problem

Clinical judgement /clinical reasoning

• Demonstrates a successful problem solving process, including collection of data, evaluation of information and formation of decisions about diagnosis, prognosis, treatment and prevention

Global rating

An overall judgement of performance at the expected level of an Australian graduate at the end of PGY1.