|  |
| --- |
| Review of the Accreditation Standards for Primary Medical Programs |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ATTACHMENT C | Consultation questions template: Proposals for detailed changes |

|  |
| --- |
| **Your feedback** |

We would **like to hear your perspectives** on the proposals for detailed changes. We will consider all the feedback we receive when shaping further proposals for change.

The AMC’s primary responsibility is to ensure that standards of education, training and assessment of the medical profession promote and protect the health of the Australian community and the final content of the Accreditation Standards for Primary Medical Programs (medical school standards) must reflect this. If you would like further information about how to engage with the review please visit [the AMC website](https://www.amc.org.au/review-of-accreditation-standards-for-primary-medical-programs-medical-schools/).

|  |
| --- |
| We are seeking feedback by **Monday 24 October 2022.**  To enable efficient evaluation of the feedback our preference is for responses to be provided, by email, in a **Word document** using this **template** to [standardsreview@amc.org.au](mailto:standardsreview@amc.org.au). If this is not possible, please provide a non-protected PDF. |

|  |
| --- |
| **This template** |

This template provides questions against each major theme of the standards review, across the:

1. Graduate Outcome Statements
2. Standards for medical schools

This template should be read in conjunction with the **Consultation paper - Review of Accreditation Standards for Primary Medical Programs proposals for detailed changes**, which outlines the background and review process, the feedback and recommendations received during the scoping consultation, and the AMC responses in the form of proposed revisions to the standards and outcomes. Feedback is sought on the proposed revisions to the standards and outcomes and on any additional considerations for this review.

The full set of proposed outcomes and standards are contained in the other attachments:

* **ATTACHMENT A**: Proposed Graduate Outcome Statements – Draft for consultation August 2022
* **ATTACHMENT B**: Proposed Accreditation Standards for Primary Medical Programs – Draft for consultation August 2022

The questions are only a guide, please advise of anything that you think the AMC should consider around the detailed proposals. We recognise that not all suggested questions below will apply to all stakeholders, please only respond to those that are of relevance to you. There are also spaces for general comments.

|  |
| --- |
| **Your information** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Organisation (if relevant) |  |
| Name |  |
| Position |  |
| Location (State/Territory) |  |
| Email |  |
| Telephone number |  |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Graduate outcomes statements |

|  |
| --- |
| **Content** of the graduate outcome statements - Questions |
| 1. *Social Accountability*   In the area of Social Accountability, do the proposed revisions to the outcomes identify what is required for safe and competent medical practitioners at the beginning of practice? If not, what further revisions might be required?  Do you have any further comments on this area? |
|  |
| 1. *Cultural Safety*   In the area of Cultural Safety, do the proposed revisions to the outcomes identify what is required for safe and competent medical practitioners at the beginning of practice? If not, what further revisions might be required?  Do you have any further comments on this area? |
|  |
| 1. *Safety and Quality*   In the area of Safety and Quality, do the proposed revisions to the outcomes identify what is required for safe and competent medical practitioners at the beginning of practice? If not, what further revisions might be required?  Do you have any further comments on this area? |
|  |
| 1. *Emerging Technologies*   In the area of Emerging Technologies, do the proposed revisions to the outcomes identify what is required for safe and competent medical practitioners at the beginning of practice? If not, what further revisions might be required?  Do you have any further comments on this area? |
|  |
| 1. *Partnering with Patients*   In the area of Partnering with Patients, do the proposed revisions to the outcomes identify what is required for safe and competent medical practitioners at the beginning of practice? If not, what further revisions might be required?  Do you have any further comments on this area? |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Structure** of the graduate outcome statements - Questions |
| 1. *Specificity of Outcomes*   Are the proposed revisions to the outcomes appropriately specified at a high level? If not, what further revisions might be required?  Do you have any further comments on this area? |
|  |
| 1. *Order of Domains*   Do you agree with the re-ordering of the outcome domains? If not, what else should the AMC consider? |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Standards for medical schools |

# .

|  |
| --- |
| **Contents** of the accreditation standards for medical schools - Questions |
| 1. *Social Accountability*   In the area of Social Accountability, do the proposed revisions to the standards identify what is required for safe and competent medical practitioners at the beginning of practice? If not, what further revisions might be required?  Do you have any further comments on this area? |
|  |
| 1. *Cultural Safe**ty*   In the area of Cultural Safety, do the proposed revisions to the standards identify what is required for safe and competent medical practitioners at the beginning of practice? If not, what further revisions might be required?  Do you have any further comments on this area? |
|  |
| 1. *Student Wellbeing*   In the area of Student Wellbeing, do the proposed revisions to the standards identify what is required for safe and competent medical practitioners at the beginning of practice? If not, what further revisions might be required?  Do you have any further comments on this area? |
|  |
| 1. *Transition to Practice*   In the area of Transition to Practice, do the proposed revisions to the standards identify what is required for safe and competent medical practitioners at the beginning of practice? If not, what further revisions might be required?  Do you have any further comments on this area? |
|  |
| 1. *Governance, Leadership and Resources*   In the area of Governance, Leadership and Resources, do the proposed revisions to the standards identify what is required for safe and competent medical practitioners at the beginning of practice? If not, what further revisions might be required?  Do you have any further comments on this area? |
|  |
| 1. *Outcomes, the Curriculum and Assessment*   In the area of Outcomes, the Curriculum and Assessment, do the proposed revisions to the standards identify what is required for safe and competent medical practitioners at the beginning of practice? If not, what further revisions might be required?  Do you have any further comments on this area? |
|  |
| 1. *Emerging Technologies*   Do the proposed revisions to the standards identify the requirements on education programs that graduate safe and competent medical practitioners in the area of Emerging Technologies? If not, what further revisions might be required?  Do you have any further comments on this area? |
|  |
| 1. *Innovation*   Do the proposed revisions ensure that the standards are able to continue to support innovation within medical schools?  Are any further revisions required? |
|  |
| 1. *International Frameworks*   Do the proposed revisions to the standards meet the requirements under relevant international frameworks? If not, what further revisions might be required?  Do you have any further comments on this area? |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Structure** of the accreditation standards for medical schools - Questions |
| 1. *Re-grouping of Standards*   Are the proposed revisions to the structure of the standards conducive to streamlining reporting and conceptually linking aspects of a medical education program? If not, what else should the AMC consider? |
|  |
| 1. *Increase Focus on Outcomes*   Do the proposed revisions effectively balance an increased focus on outcomes with process and input focused standards? |
|  |
| 1. *Reintroduction of Notes*   Which standards might benefit most from greater clarity around implementation and best practice? |
|  |

# .

|  |
| --- |
| Summary questions |
| 1. In your view, are there any areas of change which might be challenging for medical schools to implement effectively? If so, please explain what areas might be challenging and why. |
|  |
| 1. Are there any **further significant areas** in which the AMC should consider revisions to the graduate outcomes and the standards for medical schools? |
|  |